

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,131		07/23/2003	Kun-Chung Liu	08688.0324US01	9367
23552	7590	06/30/2004		EXAM	INER
MERCHA P.O. BOX		OULD PC	SAKRAN, VICTOR N		
		N 55402-0903	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			3677	
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/626,131	LIU, KUN-CHUNG				
Office Action	ii Sullillary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		VICTOR N SAKRAN	3677				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	TE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availater SIX (6) MONTHS from the  - If the period for reply specified a  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION THIS COMMUNICATION THE PROPERTY THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on 2	23 July 2003.					
2a) This action is FINA	•						
3) Since this applicat	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordar	nce with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/ar	e pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above cl	aim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/	are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,9 aı</u>	<u>nd 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,7 and</u>							
8) Claim(s) are	e subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not re	quest that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawin	g sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declara	ation is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is a)☐ All b)☐ Some		reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
· ·	<i>,</i> —	nents have been received.					
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nents have been received in Ag	onlication No				
•	• •	priority documents have been i	•				
		ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	a control in time trainental chage				
• •		a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment(e)							

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 3677

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 9, and 10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art Figure 1 in view of Liu U.S. 6,571,438.

Figure 1 (prior art) discloses the general combination claimed of a shoelace fastener for a shoe comprising a substantially rigid fastener body formed with

Art Unit: 3677

spaced apart shoelace exit holes (302) and another spaced apart shoelace entry holes (301) including a pair of anchor portions (303), each of which is disposed between the respective adjacent pair of said shoelace entry and exit holes, except for the particular use of a pull unit which is secured to the fastener body defining two loop portions and when desired to loosening the shoe a manual pulling force applied on the fastener body by said pull unit. Liu teaches the use of a pull unit which is secured to a fastener body in a shoelace fastener device assembly defining two loop portions that cooperate with the distal lace segments, and whereby a manual pulling force applied on its fastener body by its pulling unit for loosening the shoe; see Figures3-9; the abstract; column 3, lines 20-28, and claim2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the shoelace fastener of Figure 1, (prior art) with a pull unit and to form two loop portions with the end portions of its shoelace, such that when desired to loosening the shoe a manual force will be applied to its fastener body by the pulling unit in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Liu, especially, since such modification involves only routine skill in the art.

Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teaching of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom; see In re Preda, 401 F2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342,344 (CCPA1968).

Art Unit: 3677

Moreover, the particular location and/or the arrangement selected of an elements is considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice to one having ordinary skill within the art, especially, since it has been held that rearranging pa an invention is involves only routine skill in the art. See In Re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70

AS to the particular type of material used is considered to be no more than an obvious matter of design choice within the skill in the art, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. See In Re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 2-4, 7, and 8, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the prior art cited herein, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR N SAKRAN whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 10/626,131

Art Unit: 3677

number is 703-308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM -

5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, J. J. swann can be reached on 703-308-4115. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from

the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 23, 2004

VICTOR N SAKRAN Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 3677